

1 Gerald Singleton, State Bar No. 208783  
2 Brody A. McBride, State Bar No. 270852  
3 Trenton G. Lamere, State Bar No. 272760  
4 SINGLETON LAW FIRM, APC  
5 115 W. Plaza Street  
6 Solana Beach, Ca 92075-1123  
7 Telephone: (760) 697-1330  
8 Fax: (760) 697-1329  
9 E-Mail: Brody@SLFfirm.com  
10 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Daniel Manriquez*

11 David E. Weiss, State Bar No. 148147  
12 Britt M. Roberts, State Bar No. 284805  
13 REED SMITH LLP  
14 101 Second Street; Suite 1800  
15 San Francisco, CA 94105-3659  
16 Telephone: (415) 543-4873  
17 Fax: (415) 391-8269  
18 E-mail: broberts@reedsmith.com  
19 *Attorneys for Plaintiff Daniel Cisneros*

20 Xavier Becerra, State Bar No. 118517  
21 Jay C. Russell, State Bar No. 122626  
22 Neculai Grecea, State Bar No. 307570  
23 Preeti K. Bajwa, State Bar No. 232484  
24 CAL. ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE  
25 1515 Clay St., 20<sup>th</sup> Floor  
26 Oakland, CA 94612  
27 Telephone: (510) 879-0980  
28 Fax: (510) 622-2700  
E-mail: Preeti.Bajwa@doj.ca.gov  
*Attorneys for Defendants S. Cupp, J. Cuske,  
D. Melton, K. Ohland, J. Vangilder, and J.  
Vasquez*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – OAKLAND DIVISION

DANIEL MANRIQUEZ,

Plaintiff,

vs.

VANGILDER et al.,

Defendants,

Case No. 4:16-cv-1320-HSG  
Related Case No. 4:16-cv-0735-HSG

**STIPULATION FOR CLARIFICATION  
REGARDING PRETRIAL CONFERENCE  
DATE**

Judge: Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.  
Courtroom: 2  
Trial Date: February 18, 2019

1 And Related Case,  
2 DANIEL CISNEROS,  
3 Plaintiff,  
4 vs.  
5 VANGILDER et al.,  
6 Defendants.

7  
8 **STIPULATION**

9 On November 21, 2018, the parties filed a Joint Stipulation to Continue Trial Date and Set  
10 Settlement Conference, (ECF Nos. 106 and 107), which the Court granted on November 28, 2018.  
11 (ECF Nos. 108 and 109.) In granting the stipulation, the Court ordered the parties to engage in a  
12 settlement conference (or other private mediation) on or before January 14, 2019, and continued the  
13 trial date in this case from January 14, 2019, to February 18, 2019. (ECF No. 109.)

14 However, based on the Court's order, it is not clear whether the Court intended to also continue  
15 the pretrial conference date in this case, which is set for January 8, 2019. If the Court did not continue  
16 the pretrial date, for the reasons set forth in the parties' original Joint Stipulation, *see* (ECF Nos. 106  
17 and 107), the parties believe that there is good cause to continue the pretrial conference to February  
18 12, 2019, to allow the parties to prepare for and meaningfully participate in the settlement conference  
19 by January 14, 2019.

20 Based on the foregoing, **THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE** that good cause exists to  
21 continue the pretrial conference date in this case to February 12, 2019.

22  
23 DATED: December 3, 2018

s/Brody A. McBride  
Brody A. McBride  
Singleton Law Firm  
Attorney for Plaintiff Daniel Manriquez

24  
25  
26 DATED: December 3, 2018

s/Britt Roberts  
Britt Roberts  
Reed Smith, LLP  
Attorney for Plaintiff Daniel Cisneros

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28


DATED: December 3, 2018

s/Preeti K. Bajwa  
Preeti K. Bajwa  
California Attorney General's Office  
*Attorney for Defendants S. Cupp, J. Cuske,  
D. Melton, K. Ohland, J. Vangilder, and J.  
Vasquez*

**ORDER**

Having considered the parties' stipulation, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the pretrial conference date in this case is continued to February 12, 2019, at 3:00 p.m. All dates pertaining to trial documents submissions and exchange of evidence will also be re-scheduled in accordance with the Court's Civil Pretrial and Trial Standing Order.

DATED: December 3, 2018

  
HON. HAYWOOD S. GILLIAM, JR.  
U.S. District Judge